The Partition Evaluative Incompleteness Principle (PEIP): A Structural Limitation on Cross-Domain Admissibility Evaluation

by M. PanXnubis A. Sh. Gaia Ladrieh

5/6/26

1. Purpose

Modern evaluative systems are partitioned into domain-local structures possessing independent constraint languages, admissibility criteria, verification procedures, and bounded evaluative visibility.

These partitions permit high local rigor while remaining structurally incapable of determining the admissibility status of certain cross-domain constraint structures.

The purpose of this document is to define a formal limitation governing such systems.

This limitation is termed the Partition Evaluative Incompleteness Principle (PEIP).

PEIP establishes that partition-level evaluative rigor does not imply global evaluative completeness.

It further establishes that:

- locally verified structures may remain globally admissible,
- and globally admissible cross-domain structures may remain locally undecidable or incorrectly rejected under partition-isolated evaluation.

This document concerns evaluative limitation only.

It does not propose:

- a universal theory,
- a unified ontology,
- or a replacement for domain expertise.

Its purpose is strictly structural.

2. Scope

This document applies to evaluative systems partitioned into domain-local structures, including but not limited to:

- scientific disciplines,
- mathematical specializations,
- engineering domains,
- computational verification systems,
- institutional review structures,
- and hybrid technical architectures.

The principle applies wherever:

- constraint evaluation is locally partitioned,
- admissibility is determined within bounded domain languages,
- and cross-domain structures require simultaneous constraint interaction across multiple evaluative partitions.

This document does not:

- assert that all cross-domain structures are admissible,
- claim that local expertise is unnecessary,
- propose universal explanatory closure,
- or replace existing verification and validation procedures.

The principle defined herein concerns only the structural limits of partition-local admissibility determination.

PEIP is not restricted to speculative or foundational systems.

The limitation applies generally to any structure whose admissibility depends on interactions spanning multiple evaluative partitions.

Examples include:

- coupled biological-computational systems,
- hybrid AI-control architectures,
- multi-layer infrastructure systems,
- semantic-computational interfaces,
- and cross-domain constraint transport frameworks.

The limitation is structural and observer-independent.

3. Definitions

3.1 Partitioned Evaluative System

A partitioned evaluative system is a collection:

$D = \{D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n\}$

where each partition *D_i*:

- possesses a local constraint language *C_i*,
- local admissibility criteria *A_i*,
- local verification procedures,
- and bounded evaluative visibility.

Each partition evaluates structures only within the admissible expressive scope of *C_i*.

No assumption of global evaluative completeness is permitted.

3.2 Local Constraint Language

A local constraint language *C_i* is the set of:

- admissible variables,
- operators,
- invariants,
- transformation rules,
- and evaluative procedures

recognized within partition *D_i*.

Constraint expressions not representable within *C_i* are externally opaque to *D_i*.

Opacity does not imply inadmissibility.

3.3 Cross-Domain Constraint Structure

A cross-domain constraint structure is a structure:

Φ

whose admissibility depends on simultaneous constraint interactions spanning multiple local constraint languages.

Formally:

Φ ≠ *C_*i, ˣ*i*

No single partition contains a complete admissible representation of Φ.

3.4 Local Admissibility

Local admissibility is the admissibility determination performed by partition *D_i* using only:

- C_i,
- local evaluative procedures,
- and locally visible constraint relations.

Local admissibility is denoted:

$A_i(\Phi \mid D_i)$

Local admissibility does not imply global admissibility.

3.5 Global Admissibility

Global admissibility is the admissibility status of a structure under simultaneous evaluation of all relevant cross-domain constraint interactions.

Global admissibility is denoted:

$A_{global}(\Phi)$

Global admissibility may differ from all partition-local determinations.

3.6 Evaluative Visibility Boundary

An evaluative visibility boundary is the limit beyond which a partition D_i cannot formally evaluate:

- constraint interactions,
- admissibility relations,
- or invariant transport

without external constraint information.

Visibility boundaries are structural properties of partitioned evaluative systems and do not imply evaluator incompetence.

3.7 Cross-Domain Admissibility Synthesis

Cross-domain admissibility synthesis is the evaluative procedure by which constraint interactions spanning multiple evaluative partitions are jointly represented and tested under a shared admissibility structure.

Cross-domain admissibility synthesis becomes necessary whenever admissibility dependencies propagate beyond a single local constraint language.

Absent such synthesis:

- acceptance,
- rejection,
- and undecidability

remain partition-local rather than globally determinative.

4. Partition Evaluative Incompleteness Principle (PEIP)

Let:

Φ

be a cross-domain constraint structure satisfying

$\Phi \pm C\_i, {}^{x}i$

Let:

$A\_i(\Phi \mid D\_i)$
denote the local admissibility determination performed by partition D_i.

Then no partition-local evaluator D_i can determine the complete admissibility status of Φ using only C_i.

Therefore:

$({}^{x}i, A\_i(\Phi \mid D\_i)$ does not imply $A\_global(\Phi)$

and:

$({}^{=}i, \neg A\_I(\Phi \mid D\_i)$ does not imply $\neg A\_global(\Phi)$

Local admissibility does not imply global admissibility.

Local inadmissibility does not imply global inadmissibility.

A partitioned evaluative system may therefore:

- locally validate globally inadmissible structures,
- locally reject globally admissible structures,
- or remain unable to determine admissibility entirely.

This limitation is structural.

It arises from evaluative partitioning itself and does not depend on:

- evaluator intelligence,
- procedural rigor,
- computational power,
- or institutional authority.

Increasing local rigor with D_i does not eliminate the incompleteness condition if Φ remains cross-domain relative to C_i.

5. Structural Consequences

Partitioned evaluative systems permit the survival of globally inadmissible structures when contradiction is distributed across evaluative boundaries rather than resolved within a single partition.

Under PEIP:

- local verification may coexist with global contradiction,
- local coherence may coexist with global inadmissibility,
- and partition-isolated rigor may coexist with evaluative incompleteness.

The limitation intensifies as cross-domain coupling increases.

Structures exhibiting:

- multi-layer dependency,
- invariant transport across domains,
- coupled constraint propagation,
- or hybridized operational architectures

cannot be fully evaluated through partition-isolated admissibility procedures alone.

Consequently:

- biological-computational systems,
- hybrid AI-control architectures,
- semantic-computational interfaces,
- distributed infrastructure systems,
- and multi-domain autonomous systems

may exceed the evaluative visibility boundary of any individual partition.

PEIP therefore establishes that:

- partition-local evaluation is not globally complete,
- and that increasing specialization does not guarantee increasing

global evaluative adequacy.

Local optimization of evaluative rigor does not resolve cross-domain incompleteness when admissibility depends on interactions external to the local constraint language.

6. Clarification

PEIP does not imply:

- that all cross-domain structures are admissible,
- that domain expertise is unnecessary,
- or that partition-local evaluation lacks utility.

The principle further does not:

- propose universal explanatory closure,
- assert a unified ontology,
- or establish the admissibility of any specific cross-domain framework.

PEIP concerns evaluative limitation only.

The principle establishes that partition-local admissibility procedures are insufficient for determining the admissibility status of certain cross-domain structures.

Accordingly:

- failure of local evaluability does not constitute global inadmissibility,
- and local opacity does not constitute contradiction.

PEIP does not eliminate the requirement for rigor.

It extends rigor to the cross-domain interaction layer where admissibility dependencies propagate beyond individual evaluative partitions.

The principle therefore imposes an additional structural requirement:

Cross-domain structures require cross-domain admissibility synthesis.